Finding the Wave Crest Using Twitter

Dr. Adrienne Peters, Department of Sociology Dr. Jane Costello, Centre for Innovation in Teaching and Learning Daph Crane, Centre for Innovation in Teaching and Learning

Canadian Network for Innovation in Education Conference, 2019, Vancouver, BC



Twitter: An Introduction

Launched in 2006

Social networking platform for microblogging / microposts

In 2016, 7.4 million active users

Between 2010 and 2012, 64% Canadian Internet users used SN tools including Twitter

Literature Shows...

Most common use in ed: Communication and assessment (Tang & Hew, 2017)

Higher engagement scores (Junco et al., 2011)

Encourages the challenge of assumptions (Rohr et al., 2015)

Welch and Bonnan-White (2012) showed lower student-content engagement, but increased academic and peer engagement.

Literature Shows... continued

- Increased learner-centeredness (Chawingo, 2017)
- **Promotes active e-learning** (Hsu & Ching, 2012)
- Promotes informal learning
- Increased social presence
- Improved communication and writing skills (Dunlap & Lowenthal, 2009)

Online students:

- **are more independent** (Diaz & Cartnal, 1999)
- have less direct interaction (Beard et al., 2004)

Online interaction is less intimidating (Ni, 2013)

Present Study

- Two-fold study
 - A
 - B
- Two sections of sociology of deviance course:
 - same instructor and semester
 - identical content and materials
 - identical assessment
 - Difference: one section was online, one section was on-campus (f2f)

Research Questions

Study A:

- What kind of a Twitter presence do post-secondary students in a social science course have?
- Does students' required use of Twitter foster course and community connectedness based on students' perception of these concepts?

Research Questions continued

Study B:

- Examine students' use of social media platform in the social sciences
- Assess whether there were any differences in the experiences of Twitter by students completing the same course concurrently, one section being offered on campus and one section online

Methodology

- Course Assignment: 2 Twitter events
- Online questionnaire on experiences using Twitter
- 63.8% response rate

Results - General Twitter Use

| Measure | On campus (n=24) | Online (n=13) |
|--|---------------------|------------------|
| Twitter account prior (Yes) | 75.0% | 69.2 % |
| Previous use | | |
| Regularly, personal | 26. 1% | 15.4% |
| Regularly, personal and other coursers | 0.0% | 30.8% |
| Infrequently | 52.2% | 23.1% |
| None/no account | 20.8% | 30.8% |

Results - Frequency of Twitter Use

| Frequency of use, generally | On campus | Online |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Once | 8.3% | 0.0% |
| Twice | 29.3% | 8.3% |
| Monthly | 33.3% | 25.0% |
| Weekly | 12.5% | 33.3% |
| Daily | 16.7% | 33.3% |

Results - Twitter Accounts

| Separate university account | On Campus | Online |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Yes | 25.0% | 23.1% |
| Νο | 54.2% | 46.1 % |
| No account prior | 20.8% | 30.8% |

Results - Course Twitter Use

| Twitter use in other courses | On Campus | Online |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| 0 | 79.2 % | 46.1% |
| 1 | 8.3% | 30.8% |
| 2 | 12.5% | 7.7% |
| 3+ | 0.0% | 15.4% |

Results - Frequency of Course Twitter Use

| Frequency of use for course | On Campus | Online |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Once | 0.0% | 7.7% |
| Twice | 70.8 % | 53.8% |
| Monthly | 16.7% | 7.7% |
| Weekly | 12.5% | 30.8% |

Results - Twitter Activities

| Students' Twitter use in SOCI 3290 | On Campus | Online |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Completed Twitter Events | | |
| 1 | 4.2% | 7.7% |
| 2 (all) | 95.8 % | 92.3% |
| Retweeted classmates' posts (Yes) | 0.0% | 16.7 % |
| Retweeted instructor's posts (Yes) | 8.3% | 7.7% |
| Tweeted using #SOCI3290F16 other than for the required course evaluations (Yes) | 70.8% | 69.2 % |
| | | |

Results - Twitter Helpfulness

| Students' perception of Twitter's helpfulness, generally | Yes |
|--|---------------|
| Engagement with material external to course | 59.4 % |
| Application of real-life events to course | 59.4 % |
| Alternative to traditional university setting, generally | 59.4 % |
| Alternative to lecture or reading course material | 51.3% |
| Alternative to group activities | 45.9 % |
| Opportunity to see other students' interests | 43.2% |
| Opportunity to participate outside of speaking in class | 40.5% |

Results - Twitter Helpfulness, External Content

| Engagement with material external to course | On Campus | Online |
|---|-----------|--------|
| Helpful (1-3) | 58.3% | 61.6% |
| Neutral (4) | 0.0% | 15.4% |
| Less helpful (5-7) | 41.7% | 23.1% |
| | | |

Results - Twitter Helpfulness, Real-life Events

| Application of real-life events to course | On Campus | Online |
|---|-----------|---------------|
| Helpful (1-3) | 62.5% | 53.8 % |
| Neutral (4) | 12.5% | 23.1% |
| Less helpful (5-7) | 25.0% | 23.1% |

Results - Twitter Helpfulness, Students' Interests

| Opportunity to see other students' interests | On Campus | Online |
|--|---------------|--------|
| Helpful (1-3) | 45.8% | 38.5% |
| Neutral (4) | 8.3% | 15.4% |
| Less helpful (5-7) | 45.9 % | 46.2% |
| | | |

Results - Twitter Helpfulness, Alternative to Lecture

| Alternative to lecture or reading course material | On | Online |
|---|--------|--------|
| | Campus | |
| Helpful (1-3) | 45.8% | 61.6% |
| Neutral (4) | 8.3% | 15.4% |
| Less helpful (5-7) | 45.8% | 23.1% |

Results - Twitter Helpfulness, Alternative to Groups

| Alternative to group activities | On Campus | Online |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Helpful (1-3) | 54.1% | 30.8% |
| Neutral (4) | 12.5% | 15.4% |
| Less helpful (5-7) | 33.3% | 53.9 % |

Results - Twitter Helpfulness, Alternative to Lecture

| Alternative to traditional university setting, generally | On Campus | Online |
|--|---------------|--------|
| Helpful (1-3) | 70.8% | 38.5% |
| Neutral (4) | 8.3% | 15.4% |
| Less helpful (5-7) | 20.9 % | 46.2% |
| | | |

Results - Twitter Helpfulness, Alternative to Speaking

| Opportunity to participate outside of speaking in class | On Campus | Online |
|---|-----------|--------|
| Helpful (1-3) | 50.0% | 25.0% |
| Neutral (4) | 8.3% | 0.0% |
| Less helpful (5-7) | 41.7% | 58.4% |
| | | |

Results - Twitter Helpfulness, Alternative to Lecture

| Comparison to in-class assignments | On Campus | Online |
|------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| More work | 4.2% | 0.0% |
| The same level of work | 20.8% | 46. 1% |
| Less work | 70.8% | 46.1% |
| l do not know | 4.2% | 7.7% |
| | | |

Results - Forum Comparison

| Like them better | 87.5% | 53.9 % |
|--------------------------------|-------|---------------|
| Like them about the same | 8.3% | 30.8% |
| Like them less | 0.0% | 15.4% |
| Never used other online forums | 4.2% | 0.0% |
| | | |

Results - Material Application

| Straightforward application of course material | On Campus | Online |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Strongly agree/Agree | 91.3 % | 92.2 % |
| Strongly disagree/Disagree | 8.7% | 7.7% |
| | | |

Results - Effort

| Reasonable effort required | On Campus | Online |
|----------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Strongly agree/Agree | 79.2 % | 100.0% |
| Strongly disagree/Disagree | 20.9% | 0.0% |

Results - Sense of Community, Course

| Sense of community belonging in SOCI 3290 | On Campus | Online |
|---|-----------|---------------|
| I felt really connected | 8.3% | 15.4% |
| I connected with a few individuals | 37.5% | 30.8% |
| I did not really connect with anyone | 12.5% | 46. 1% |
| It was a means to an end | 41.7% | 7.7% |
| | | |

Results - Sense of Community, Other Courses

| Sense of community belonging compared to other online courses | On Campus | Online |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| I felt more connected | 34.8% | 46. 1% |
| I felt the same degree of connectedness | 26.1 % | 46. 1% |
| I felt less connected | 4.4% | 7.7% |
| Not applicable | 34.8% | 0.0% |

Results - Twitter Interest, Future Courses

| More integration of Twitter into course activities and evaluation (<i>Strongly</i> agree/Agree) | On Campus | Online |
|--|-----------|--------|
| I wish more classes would integrate Twitter into course activities | 70.8% | 84.6% |
| I wish more classes would integrate Twitter into course evaluations | 75.0% | 84.6% |
| | | |

Results - Twitter Interest, Future Courses continued

| Future Twitter use in another course (Strongly agree/Agree) | On Campus | Online |
|--|---------------|--------|
| I hope I do not have to use Twitter in another course | 29.2 % | 23.1% |
| I expect to use it more than before the course | 25.0% | 15.4% |
| I expect to use it about the same amount | 45.8% | 61.5% |
| I expect to use it only if required for another course, not for personal use | 25.0% | 23.1% |

Recommendations

- Keep Hashtags simple
- Require ongoing participation
- Require responses to tweets
- Small group Twitter activities
- Use early in semester
- Base use in a framework
 - (Example: Chickering & Gamson, 1987)

Recommendations continued

- Be aware of the variety of experiences & backgrounds students have with the tool
- Implement Twitter or other microblogging platform
- Ask students what social networking tools they use early in the course

Published Papers

- Peters, A., Costello, J., & Crane, D., (2018). Deviating from the Traditional Instructional Tools: Integrating Twitter is a Sociology of Deviance Course. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology*, 44(3). DOI: 10.21432/cjlt27792
- Peters, A., Crane, D., & Costello, J., (2019). A Comparison of Students' Twitter use in a Postsecondary Course Delivered on campus and online. *Education and Information Technologies*. DOI: 10.1007/s10639-019-09888-1

References

- Beard, L. A., Harper, C., & Riley, G. (2004). Online versus on-campus instruction: Student attitudes & perceptions. *Tech Trends*, 48(6), 29-31.
- Chawinga, W. D. (2017). Taking social media to a university classroom: Teaching and learning using Twitter and blogs. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 14(3), 3-22.
- Chen, L., & Chen, T. L. (2012). Use of Twitter for formative evaluation: Reflections on trainer and trainees' experiences. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 43(2), E49-E52. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8535.2011.01251.x
- Diaz, D. P., & Cartnal, R. B. (1999). Students' learning styles in two classes: Online distance learning and equivalent on-campus. *College Teaching*, 47, 130-135. doi:10.1080/87567559909595802
- Dunlap, J. C. & Lowenthal, P. R. (2009). Tweeting the night away: Using Twitter to enhance social presence. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 20(2), 129-136.

References continued

- Harrison, R., & Thomas, M. (2009). Identity in online communities: Social networking sites and language learning. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Society*, 7(2), 109-124.
- Hsu, Y., & Ching, Y. (2012). Mobile microblogging: Using twitter and mobile devices in an online course to promote learning in authentic contexts. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 13(4), 211-227.
- Junco, R., Heiberger, G., & Loken, E. (2011). The effect of Twitter on college student engagement and grades. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 27(2), 119-132. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2729.2010.00387.x
- Menkhoff, T., Chay, Y. W., Bengtsson, M. L., Woodard, C. J., & Gan, B. (2015). Incorporating microblogging ("tweeting") in higher education: Lessons learnt in a knowledge management course. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 51(B), 1295-1302.
- Ni, A. Y. (2013). Comparing the effectiveness of classroom and online learning: Teaching research methods. *Journal of Public Affairs Education*, 19(2), 199-215.

References continued

- Rohr, L. E. & Costello, J. (2015). The use of Twitter as an assessment tool in a large enrollment online course. Online Learning: Official Journal of the Online Learning Consortium: Invited Papers / OLC 20th Anniversary Conference Special Issue, 19(4), 25-36.
- Rohr, L. E., Costello, J., & Hawkins, T. (2015). Design considerations for integrating Twitter into an online course. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 16(4), 241-249.
- Tang, Y., & Hew, K. F. (2017). Using Twitter for education: Beneficial or simply a waste of time? Computers & Education, 106(2017), 97-118.
- Voorn, R. J. J., & Kommers, P. A. M. (2013). Social media and higher education: Introversion and collaborative learning from the student's perspective. International Journal of Social Media and Interactive Learning Environments, 1(1), 59-73.