Award of Excellence in Technology in a Partnership or Collaboration

| Criteria | Exemplary (3) | Effective (2) | Baseline(1) | Unsatisfactory (0) |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Alignment with award requirements and timeline | Project aligns with all of the award requirements and has been completed within the last 24 months of submission. | Project aligns with most of the award requirements and has been completed within the last 24 months. | Project aligns with a few of the award requirements and has been completed within the last 24 months. | Project does not align with the award requirements and has not been completed within the last 24 months. |
| Evidence that the technology used addresses the instructional problem | Substantial evidence that the technology used addresses the instructional problem | Considerable evidence that the technology used addresses the instructional problem | Little evidence that the technology used addresses the instructional problem | There is no evidence that the technology used addresses the instructional problem |
| The technology and its integration into the learning experience | Substantial evidence that technology is logically and seamlessly integrated into the learning experience | Considerable evidence that technology is logically and seamlessly integrated into the learning experience | Little evidence that technology is logically and seamlessly integrated into the learning experience | The technology is not integrated into the learning experience |
| Visual presentation and the intended audience | Visual presentation is appropriate for the intended audience. | Visual presentation is somewhat appropriate for the intended audience. | Visual presentation not very appropriate for the intended audience. | Visual presentation inappropriate for the intended audience. |
| Evidence of the specific contributions made by each partner | Substantial evidence of the specific contributions made by each partner | Considerable evidence of the specific contributions made by each partner. | Little evidence of the specific contributions made by each partner. | No evidence of the contributions made by each partner. |

| Errors within the project | Project is free of all errors. | Project has minor errors. | Project has some errors. | Project has many errors. |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| Innovation of the project | Project is extremely innovative. | Project is somewhat innovative. | Project has little innovation. | Project is not innovative. |
| Project functions as described | Project functions exactly as described. | Project mostly functions as described. | Project functions somewhat as described. | Project does not function as described. |
| Project is easy to navigate | Project is very easy to navigate. | Project is easy to navigate. | There are some difficulties navigating the project. | Project is not easy to navigate. |
| There is evidence of the project's sustainability and scalability | There is significant evidence that the project is sustainable and scalable. | There is reasonable evidence that the project is sustainable and scalable. | There is minimal evidence that the project is sustainable and scalable. | There is no evidence of the projects sustainability and scalability |
| The project has an open education component | Project final product is open, free to use under a CC license. | Project | Project uses open resources as a component of the final product. | The project does not have an open education component. |